UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,686	09/05/2006	Ronald Kumst	LEO 004 PA	1489
	7590 05/15/200 HOWALTER LLP		EXAMINER	
7019 CORPOR	ATE WAY		GISSEL, GUNNAR J	
DAYTON, OH 45459-4238			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2856	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extension of time may be a valation under the previous of 37 CFR 1-136(d). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. - If NO puted for reply is appetited above, the maximum statutory period vall apply and vall ocque SIX (5) MONTHS from the unating date of this communication. - Filluro to reply which the sour or endered period for way will by statutos, cause the application become a pathocology of the communication. - Filluro to reply which the sour or endered period for way will by statutos, cause the application become a pathocology. 31 June 19 June		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Cannar J. Glasel 2866 -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—reriod for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period valuably and will expire SLK(th MON HIS from the entiting date of this communication. If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period valuably and will expire SLK(th MON HIS from the entiting date of this communication. If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period valuably and will expire SLK(th MON HIS from the entiting date of this communication. If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period valuably and will expire SLK(th MON HIS from the entiting date of this communication. If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory and will reply the specified specified by the Call of the communication. If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory and will reply the specified and specifi	Office Action Comments	10/591,686	KUMST, RONALD				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—retroid for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of the many be availate using in provisions of 37°CF 130bb, in no evelt, howers, may neity be limited field. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maintainum situation period val apply and will explice SIX (0) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. Failure to reply with the set or extended period for reply will by statistic, easier as epipication to book 93°CFB. 1740bb, Any reply received by the Office lister than these nematic after the maining date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any variety limited in majorismus. Set 97°CFB. 1740bb, Any reply received by the Office lister than these nematic after the maining date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any variety limited and the majorismus. Set 97°CFB. 1740bb, Any reply received by the Office lister than these nematic after the maining date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any variety lister to the communication. **A properties of the application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) ○ Claim(s) 1.17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ○ Claim(s) 1.68.72 and 1.19.13 is/are objected to 6) ○ Claim(s) 1.68.72 and 1.19.13 is/are objected to 7) ○ Claim(s) 1.68.72 and 1.19.13 is/are rejected. 7) ○ Claim(s) 1.68.72 and 1.19.13 is/are objected to 8) ○ Claim(s) 1.68.72 and 1.19.13 is/are objected to 8) ○ Claim(s) 1.68.72 and 1.19.13 is/are objected to 8) ○ Claim(s) 1.68.72 and 1.19.13 is/are objected to 10) ○ The drawing(s) filed on .	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF1 (1-1504a). In no went, however, may a reply be timely filed - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF1 (1-1504a). In no went, however, may a reply be timely filed - If ND period for reply is specified body. The maximum statution period will apply and will expire Sky (MONTHS from the mailing case of this communication. Failure to reply, within the set or extended period for reply, will, by statute, scause the application to become AAAHCONED, (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply residuate by the Victo be time in three mornis as eith the relating case of this communication. Several filmsy filed, may reduce any statutes. 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		Gunnar J. Gissel	2856				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.18(b). In or event, however, may areply be timely liked after 5%, (b) (ADM ITS from the mailing date of this communication, after 5%, (b) (ADM ITS from the mailing date of this communication of 37 CFR 1.18(b). - Failure to explow within the sort ovarioned period for spike will, by statutic, cause the application is become a pathocolic 15 dis 1.5 €, 13(3). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if streety filed, may reduce any searce plates them deplayment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b). Status	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4.6.8-12 and 14-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4.6.8-12 and 14-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 05 September 2006 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. All ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Dato 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pateun Application	Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4i) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4.6.8-12 and 14-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4.6.8-12 and 14-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 05 September 2006 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. All ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date All ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date Notice of References Cited (PTO-882)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 5.7 and 13 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. ***Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 05 September 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. **Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<i>;</i> —	-					
4.) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)							
4.) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	·	,					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. ***Application Papers** 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on **05 September 2006* is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. **Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119** 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Litachment(s)** **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Motice of References Cited (PTO-482) ☐ Paper No(s)/Mail Dalle	Disposition of Claims						
Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
6 Claim(s) 1-4.6.8-12 and 14-17 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 5.7 and 13 is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on 05 September 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. **Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119** 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Littachment(s)** All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
To Claim(s) 5.7 and 13 is/are objected to. 8	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,8-12 and 14-17</u> is/are rejected.						
supplication Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 05 September 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date **Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application	7) Claim(s) <u>5,7 and 13</u> is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) <u>5,7 and 13</u> is/are objected to.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 05 September 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Certified copies of the certified copies not received. Copies of References Cited (PTO-892)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 September 2006</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Copies of References Cited (PTO-892)	Application Papers						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filled on <u>05 September 2006</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Copies of References Cited (PTO-892)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Copies of References Cited (PTO-892)							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Ittachment(s) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Ittachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) \[All \] b) \[Some * c) \[None of: \] 1. \[Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. \] 2. \[Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No \] 3. \[Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application	<u> </u>	nriority under 35 LLS C. 8 119(a)	-(d) or (f)				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	·- <u> </u>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Itachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Itachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)						
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						

Application/Control Number: 10/591,686 Page 2

Art Unit: 2856

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-12, 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,837,935 to Judd Carper et al. (Carper) with teachings from US Patent 6,436,853 to Liwei Lin et al. (Lin).

Regarding Claims 1, 6, 8-12 and 14, Carper discloses, 1. (Currently Amended) A process for evaluating the hermeticity of a wafer connection, the process comprising comprising: manufacturing a test structure by forming a micromechanical sensor structure (MEMS structure 34) and first contacting islands which are connected with the micromechanical sensor structure (contacting islands 36), and creating a cavity by connecting the cover a cover wafer with the base wafer so that the micromechanical sensor structure and the melt structure are located in the cavity (cavity 20); whereby a change in pressure is generated in the interior of the cavity, which change has a chronological course which is measured by means of the micromechanical sensor structure (MEMS structure 34; the MEMS structure senses whether the seal remains hermetic, which inherently includes a chronological course of change).

Carper does not explicitly disclose an adjacent melt structure or second contacting islands which are connected with the melt structure on a base wafer or

impressing a current into the second contacting island in order to cause the melt structure to melt for the purpose of the hermeticity test of the cavity.

Lin discloses an adjacent melt structure or second contacting islands (figure 11a, interconnection) which are connected with the melt structure on a base wafer (figure 11a, microheater is connected to the interconnection on the base wafer) or impressing a current into the second contacting island in order to cause the melt structure to melt for the purpose of the hermeticity test of the cavity, the melt structure comprises a rated melting, the melt structure is composed of metal, the metal contains aluminum (Lin, column 7, lines 32-45), and in the case of a current flow, melting parts of the melt extend in a meander like fashion in the cavity (Lin, column 7, lines 32-45). As no containing or forcing structure is present to channel the molten metal, the metal will inherently meander when it is melted

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Carper with teachings from Lin because Lin teaches a method of hermetically bonding a MEMS system together while maintaining a relatively low heat in bodies adjacent to the heaters (Lin, column 5, lines 46-50). Using Lin's method of bonding, coupled with a sensor that senses ambient pressure over time would reveal whether the increase in pressure, from the melted and vaporized aluminum solder, would be consistent with a hermetic seal. The combination of Carper with Lin's teachings does not result in anything unexpected, because Carper's device still achieves a hermetic seal, Lin still achieves a hermetic seal, and soldering a cap wafer over a base wafer does not render a pressure sensitive MEMS inoperable, and the

melting of the aluminum will cause a rise in the internal pressure of the cavity, which will register on the MEMS.

Regarding Claim 2, Carper also discloses manufacturing several microelectromechanical structures on the wafer connection (MEMS structures 34).

Regarding Claim 3, Carper also discloses several test structures are produced at specific points of the wafer connection (MEMS structures 34, figure 1).

Regarding Claims 4 and 16, Carper also discloses that the several test structures are disposed in accordance with predetermined criteria of quality monitoring for the microelectromechanical structures (MEMS structure 34). The MEMS structure is designed into a specifically placed cavity to determine the integrity of the hermetic seal, it is not randomly or accidentally placed, and it is designed into a particular place before construction of the device begins, therefore the test structures are disposed in accordance with a predetermined criteria, as all designed things are.

Regarding claim 15, Carper also discloses several test structures and several microelectromechanical structures are provided (MEMS structures 34).

Regarding Claim 17, Carper also discloses the test structure and the microelectromechanical structure are disposed as a bond (bond 36).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 5, 7 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2856

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patent Application Publication 2003/0073292 concerns the use of pressure sensitive MEMS to determine hermiticity. US Patent 6,297,072 concerns internal heaters melting aluminum. US Patent 6,106,735 concerns hermetically sealing MEMS between wafers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gunnar J. Gissel whose telephone number is (571)270-3411. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:30AM-5:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on (571)272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,686 Page 6

Art Unit: 2856

5-9-2008

/Hezron Williams/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2856